S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.2760/2006

Date : 06.07.2006

HON'BLE MR.SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK, J.

Mr. Ravindra Acharya for the applicant.

Mr. Vishnu Kachhawaha, Public Prosecutor.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and carefully gone through the impugned order.

The contention of learned counsel for the applicant submits that neither contraband material was recovered from the possession of the accused-applicant nor the applicant was present at the spot. He submits that only on the basis of statement of the co-accused, the present accused-applicant has been involved in this case and there is no other evidence available on record to connect the accused-applicant with the crime. He further submits that since last few months, accused-applicant is in jail, therefore, he may be enlarged on bail.

On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the bail application and submitted that large quantity of Opium is involved, therefore, the accused-applicant may not be granted bail.

I have considered the submissions made before me. Involvement of the accused-applicant has been shown only on the basis of statement of the co-accused except that there is no other evidence is brought to the notice of the Court.

In view of above, I deem it just and proper to enlarge the accused applicant on bail.

Accordingly, the bail application filed under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C. is allowed and it is directed that the applicant Narain Singh son of Maheshdan shall be released on bail in FIR No.44/2006 P.S. Parbatsar, provided he executes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.30,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties in the sum of Rs.15,000/- each to the satisfaction of

learned trial court for his appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

(SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK), J.